Office of Regulatory Management

Economic Review Form

Agency name	Department of Motor Vehicles
Virginia Administrative	_VAC
Code (VAC) Chapter	
citation(s)	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures
Action title	Update Virginia Motorcycle Rider Training Program, Virginia Rider Training Program Policy and Procedures guidance document to conform with current curriculum.
Date this document prepared	4/9/24
Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of Guidance Documents)	

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

Table 1a. Costs and Denents of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)				
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.			
Indirect Costs &	The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes			
Benefits	that would present any direct costs.			
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: Describe the	indirect costs of the proposed change.		
	The proposed regulat	ory action does not introduce any changes		
	that would present an	y indirect costs.		
	Direct Benefits: Describe the	e direct benefits of this proposed change		
	here.			
	The proposed repeal	of the guidance document does not introduce		
	any changes that wou	lld present any direct benefits.		
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the	he indirect benefits of the proposed change.		
	The proposed repeal	of the guidance document does not introduce		
	any changes that wou	ald present any indirect benefits		
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00		
(3) Net Monetized	\$0.00			
Benefit				
(4) Other Costs &	The proposed regulatory change would update the guidance document to			
Benefits (Non-	reflect the DMV-approved curriculum.			
Monetized)	Tr			
(5) Information	None			
Sources				
	1			

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.
Indirect Costs &	Maintenance of the status quo would not present any direct costs.
Benefits	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.
(Monetized)	Maintenance of the status quo would not present any indirect costs.
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here.
	Maintenance of the status quo would not present any direct benefits.
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. Maintenance of the status quo would not present any indirect benefits.

(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00	
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$0.00		
(4) 0.1 0	1.5:	11 11 11 11	
(4) Other Costs &	Maintenance of the status quo would result in this guidance not reflecting		
Benefits (Non-	the current DMV-approved curriculum.		
Monetized)	the surrent Bivi v upproved		
(5) Information	None.		
Sources			
Sources			

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

Table 1c. Costs and benefits under Afternative Approach(es)				
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.			
Indirect Costs &	As the sole alternative is the status quo, the alternative approach			
Benefits	would not present any direct costs.			
(Monetized)		indirect costs of the proposed change.		
		e is the status quo, the alternative approach		
	would not present any			
	Direct Benefits: Describe the	e direct benefits of this proposed change		
	here.			
		e is the status quo, the alternative approach		
	would not present any			
		ne indirect benefits of the proposed change.		
		e is the status quo, the alternative approach		
	would not present any	y indirect benefits		
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
	(a) 0.00	(b) 0.00		
(3) Net Monetized	0.00			
Benefit				
(4) Other Costs &	None			
Benefits (Non-	NOTE			
Monetized)				
(5) Information	None.			
Sources				

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

Table 2: Impact on	Local I al theis			
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.			
Indirect Costs &	The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes			
Benefits	that would present any direct costs to local partners.			
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect	costs of the proposed change.		
		on does not introduce any changes		
	that would present any indire	*		
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct b	penefits of this proposed change		
	here.			
		on does not introduce any changes		
	that would present any direct	•		
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indire			
		on does not introduce any changes		
	that would present any indire	ct benefits to local partners.		
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits		
	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00		
(3) Other Costs & There are no non-monetized costs or benefits specific to local partners				
Benefits (Non-	associated with the proposed regulatory action.			
Monetized)				
(4) Assistance	As the proposed regulatory action does not present any direct or indirect			
(1) Tibblistairee	costs or benefits to local partners, no assistance is required.			
	costs of contents to focal partners, no assistance is required.			
(5) Information	None The proposed regulatory action	n imposes no additional benefit or		
Sources	None. The proposed regulatory action imposes no additional benefit or burden specific to local partners.			
Sources	burden specific to local partners.			

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.
Indirect Costs &	The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes
Benefits	that would present any direct costs to families.
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect costs of the proposed change.

	The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect costs to families. Direct Benefits: Describe the direct benefits of this proposed change here. The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes that would present any direct benefits to families. Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change. The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes that would present any indirect benefits to families.		
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a) \$0.00 Direct & Indirect Benefits (b) \$0.00		
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	There are no non-monetized costs or benefits specific to families associated with the proposed regulatory action.		
(4) Information Sources	None. The proposed regulatory action imposes no additional benefit or burden specific to families.		

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Describe the direct costs of this proposed change here.			
Indirect Costs &	The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes			
Benefits	that would present any direct	costs to small businesses.		
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: Describe the indirect	costs of the proposed change.		
	The proposed regulatory action	on does not introduce any changes		
	that would present any indire	ct costs to small businesses.		
	Direct Benefits: Describe the direct b	penefits of this proposed change		
	here.			
	The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes			
	that would present any direct benefits to small businesses.			
	Indirect Benefits: Describe the indirect benefits of the proposed change.			
	The proposed regulatory action does not introduce any changes			
	that would present any indirect benefits to small businesses.			
(2) P				
(2) Present				
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs Direct & Indirect Benefits			

	(a) \$0.00	(b) \$0.00	
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	None.		
(4) Alternatives	as noted above, the sole alternative is maintenance of the status quo.		
(5) Information Sources	None. The proposed regulatory actio burden specific to small businesses.	n imposes no additional benefit or	

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

Table 5: Regulatory Reduction

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC	Authority of	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Net
Section(s)	Change				Change
Involved*					
Virginia	Statutory:	84	0	0	0
Motorcycle	Discretionary:	36	0	0	0
Rider					
Training					
Program,					
Virginia					
Rider					
Training					
Program					
Policy and					
Procedures					
				Total Net	0
				Change of	
				Statutory	
				Requirements:	
				Total Net	0
				Change of	
				Discretionary	
				Requirements:	

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden		

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in
Document			Length
Virginia Motorcycle	3,996 words	4,000 words	+4 words
Rider Training			
Program, Virginia			
Rider Training			
Program Policy and			
Procedures			

^{*}If the agency is modifying a guidance document that has regulatory requirements, it should report any change in requirements in the appropriate chart(s).